



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/676,873

09/30/2003

John Chan

COTH-P01-002

7993

28120

7590

03/27/2008

ROPES & GRAY LLP

PATENT DOCKETING 39/41

ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE

BOSTON, MA 02110-2624

EXAMINER

DEJONG, ERIC S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1631

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/27/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/676,873	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ERIC S. DEJONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric DeJong (USPTO). (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matt Vincent, Yu Lou (Applicant Reps.). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ginalksi et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview comprised a discussion of the enablement rejection under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph of record and potential amendments to the instant claims that may overcome said rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric S DeJong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required